



STANDARDS COMMITTEE - ASSESSMENT SUB-COMMITTEE

Closed meeting to be held in Civic Hall, Leeds, LS1 1UR on
Thursday, 22nd March, 2012
at 2.00 pm

MEMBERSHIP

Independent Members

Gordon Tollefson (Chair) Independent Member

Councillors

Councillor Bob Gettings JP


Councillor Janet Harper

A G E N D A


Item No	Ward	Item Not Open		Page No
1			<p>DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS</p> <p>To declare any personal/prejudicial interests for the purpose of Section 81(3) of the Local Government Act 2000 and paragraphs 8 to 12 of the Members' Code of Conduct.</p>	
2			<p>CASE REFERENCES 0910010 AND 1011001</p> <p>To consider the information provided by the Monitoring Officer as set out in the report, and decide what action to take in relation to the complaints.</p>	1 - 18
3			<p>LESSONS TO LEARN</p> <p>To consider any lessons to learn from the above cases, and to identify any Standards Committee procedures which may require revision.</p>	


Assessment Flowchart

Step 1 - Initial Tests



Step 2 – Applying Assessment Criteria





Step 3 – Deciding what further action is appropriate

Would investigation of the matter be in the public interest and would it serve any useful purpose?

Consider the following factors:

- If proven to be true, would the alleged conduct undoubtedly warrant a sanction (except training)?
- If any "other action" were to fail, would investigation be the preferred course of action?
- Does the complaint fundamentally challenge the subject Member's honesty or integrity?

YES

NO

The Assessment Sub-Committee can refer the matter to the Monitoring Officer for **Local Investigation**.

Does the case fall into any of the following categories?:

- There is evidence of poor understanding of the Code and/or the Council's procedures
- The matter involves a breakdown in relationships to such an extent that it is difficult to conduct the business of the Council e.g. evidenced by a pattern of allegations of minor disrespect, harassment or bullying
- The other action proposed would assist in the proper functioning of the Council
- The case involves the same breach of the Code by many Members
- The case involves misleading, unclear or misunderstood advice from officers
- There is evidence of a lack of experience or training
- The case involves interpersonal conflict
- There have been allegations and retaliatory allegations from the same Members
- The allegations are about how formal meetings are conducted
- The allegations may be symptomatic of governance problems within the Council, which are more significant than the allegations in themselves

Agenda Item 2

By virtue of Regulation 8(5) of the Standards Committee (England) Regulations 2008.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of Regulation 8(5) of
the Standards Committee (England) Regulations 2008.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of Regulation 8(5) of
the Standards Committee (England) Regulations 2008.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of Regulation 8(5) of
the Standards Committee (England) Regulations 2008.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank